COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 5042-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: SB 958

Subject: Construction and Building Codes; Environmental Protection; Health, Public;

Historic Preservation; Natural Resources Dept.

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: March 22, 2010

Bill Summary: Modifies provisions pertaining to asbestos.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue			
Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 5042-01 Bill No. SB 958 Page 2 of 4 March 22, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS			
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** assume there will be no fiscal impact to their agency.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** assume this proposal would eliminate certain conflicts between state statute and corresponding state and federal regulations. The department would not anticipate a direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Administration - Division of Facilities Management Design & Construction (FMDC)** assume currently they figure for permits and fees per EPA in projects, and this new requirement would additional cost.

FMDC asssumes this proposal would have an unknown fiscal effect on their division. This could cause project funds to be spent for additional permits and administrative costs, taken from the project materials/labor dollars if these additional costs are not funded. This new requirement will cause additional delays in completing CI projects due to the additional administrative requirements.

Oversight assumes, as was stated by the Department of Natural Resources in their response, this proposal would eliminate certain conflicts between state statute and corresponding state and federal regulations. **Oversight** assumes there would be no direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

L.R. No. 5042-01 Bill No. SB 958 Page 4 of 4 March 22, 2010

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Conservation
Office of Administration
Division of Facilities Management, Design & Construction
Department of Natural Resources

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 22, 2010